

Carnival of Samplings: Nets, Approximations, Relative and Sensitive

Sariel Har-Peled*

August 26, 2009

Abstract

We survey several results known on sampling in computational geometry.

1 Introduction

In this write-up, we are interested in how much information can be extracted by random sampling of a certain size for a range space of VC dimension d . In particular, we show that several standard results about samplings follow from the sampling theorem of Lin *et al.* [LLS01].

The following assumes that the reader is familiar and comfortable with ε -nets and ε -approximations. The results surveyed in this write-up are summarized in Figure 1.

2 Preliminaries

Lin *et al.* [LLS01] consider more general functions, but in the settings we are interested in, their result can be described as follows. We are given a range space $S = (X, \mathcal{F})$ of VC dimension d , where X is a point set, and $\mathcal{F}$ is a family of subsets of X . In our settings, we will usually consider a finite subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and we will be interested in the range space induced by S on X . In particular, let N be a sample of X . For a range $R \in \mathcal{F}$, let

$$r = r(R) = \frac{|R \cap X|}{|X|} \quad \text{and} \quad s = s(R) = \frac{|R \cap N|}{|N|}.$$

Intuitively, r is the total weight of R in X , while s is the sample estimate for r . For a parameter $\nu > 0$, consider the distance function between real numbers

$$d_\nu(r, s) = \frac{|r - s|}{r + s + \nu}.$$

*Department of Computer Science; University of Illinois; 201 N. Goodwin Avenue; Urbana, IL, 61801, USA; sariel@uiuc.edu; <http://www.uiuc.edu/~sariel/>. Work on this paper was partially supported by a NSF CAREER award CCR-0132901.

Name	Property $\forall \mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{F}$	Sample size
ε -net [HW87] Theorem 3.1	$r(\mathbf{R}) \geq \varepsilon \Rightarrow s(\mathbf{R}) > 0$	$O\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$
ε -approximation [VC71] Theorem 3.2	$ r(\mathbf{R}) - s(\mathbf{R}) \leq \varepsilon$	$O\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$
Sensitive ε -approximation [Brö95, BCM99] Theorem 4.2	$ r(\mathbf{R}) - s(\mathbf{R}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\sqrt{r(\mathbf{R})} + \varepsilon)$	$O\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon^2} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$
Relative (ε, p) -approximation [CKMS06] Theorem 5.2	$r(\mathbf{R}) \leq p \Rightarrow s(\mathbf{R}) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)p$ $r(\mathbf{R}) \geq p \Rightarrow$ $(1 - \varepsilon)r(\mathbf{R}) \leq s(\mathbf{R}) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)r(\mathbf{R})$	$O\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon^2 p} \log \frac{1}{p}\right)$

Figure 1: Here, $\mathbf{R}$ denotes a range in the given range space, $r(\mathbf{R})$ is the fractional weight of $\mathbf{R}$, and $s(\mathbf{R})$ is its fractional weight in the random sample. The samples have the required property (for all the ranges in the range space) with constant probability.

6

Theorem 2.1 ([LLS01]) *Let $\alpha, \nu, \delta > 0$ be parameters, and let $S = (\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F})$ be a range space with VC dimension d . Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ be a finite set. We have, that a random sample (with repetition) of size*

$$O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2 \nu} \left(d \log \frac{1}{\nu} + \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right)$$

from X has the property that

$$\forall \mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{F} \quad d_\nu(r(\mathbf{R}), s(\mathbf{R})) < \alpha.$$

And this holds with probability $\geq 1 - \delta$.

It is hard in the sea of parameters to see the trees, so let us play with the parameters a bit.

3 Getting the ε -net and ε -approximation theorems

Theorem 3.1 ([HW87], ε -Net Theorem) *A sample of size $O((d/\varepsilon) \log(1/\varepsilon))$ from X , is an ε -net of $S = (\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F})$, where $X \subseteq \mathbf{X}$, and this holds with constant probability.*

Proof: Let $\alpha = 1/4$, $\nu = \varepsilon$, $\delta = 1/4$, and apply Theorem 2.1. The sample size is

$$O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2 \nu} \left(d \log \frac{1}{\nu} + \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right) = O\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Now, let $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{F}$ be a range such that $|\mathbf{R} \cap X| \geq \varepsilon n$, where $n = |X|$, we have that

$$d_\nu(r(\mathbf{R}), s(\mathbf{R})) < \alpha = 1/4$$

(with constant probably for all ranges). Namely,

$$\frac{|r(\mathbf{R}) - s(\mathbf{R})|}{r(\mathbf{R}) + s(\mathbf{R}) + \varepsilon} < 1/4.$$

The bad case for us, here is that $r(\mathbf{R}) \geq \varepsilon$, but $s(\mathbf{R}) = 0$. But then, the above inequality becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon} \leq \frac{|r(\mathbf{R})|}{r(\mathbf{R}) + \varepsilon} < 1/4,$$

which is, of course, false. Thus, it must be that $s(\mathbf{R}) > 0$, which implies that N is indeed an ε -net. ■

Theorem 3.2 ([VC71], ε -Approximation Theorem.) *A sample of size*

$$O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(d + \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right)$$

from X , is an ε -approximation of $S = (\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F})$, where $X \subseteq \mathbf{X}$, and this holds with probability $\geq 1 - \delta$.

Proof: Set $\alpha = \varepsilon/4$ and $\nu = 1/4$. We have, by Theorem 2.1, that for any $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{F}$, it holds

$$|r - s| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}(r + s + \nu) \leq \varepsilon,$$

implying the claim. ■

4 Sensitive ε -approximation

Another similar concept was introduced by [BCM99].

Definition 4.1 A sample $N \subseteq X$ is **sensitive ε -approximation** if

$$\forall \mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{F} \quad |r(\mathbf{R}) - s(\mathbf{R})| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\sqrt{r(\mathbf{R})} + \varepsilon \right).$$

Observe that a set N which is sensitive ε -approximation is, simultaneously, both an ε^2 -net and an ε -approximation.

The following theorem shows the existence of sensitive ε -approximation. Note that the bound on its size is (slightly) better than the bound shown by [Brö95, BCM99].

Theorem 4.2 *A sample N from X of size*

$$O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(d \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right).$$

is a sensitive ε -approximation, with probability $\geq 1 - \delta$.

Proof: Let $\nu_i = i\varepsilon^2/800$, $\alpha_i = \sqrt{1/4i}$, for $i = 1, \dots, M = \lceil 800/\varepsilon^2 \rceil$. As such, for $i = 1, \dots, M$, we have $\alpha_i^2 \nu_i = \varepsilon^2/1600$. Consider a single random sample N of size

$$U = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\mathsf{d} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \log \frac{M}{\delta} \right)\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\mathsf{d} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \log \frac{1}{\delta} \right)\right).$$

It is a sample complying with Theorem 2.1, with parameters ν_i and α_i , with probability at least $1 - \delta/M$, since

$$O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_i^2 \nu_i} \left(\mathsf{d} \log \frac{1}{\nu_i} + \log \frac{M}{\delta} \right)\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\mathsf{d} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \log \frac{M}{\delta} \right)\right) = O(U).$$

Namely, Theorem 2.1 holds for N , with probability at least δ , for parameters α_i and ν_i , for all $i = 1, \dots, M$.

Next, consider a range $R \in \mathcal{F}$, such that $r = r(R) \in [(i-1)\varepsilon^2/800, i\varepsilon^2/800]$ and $s = s(R)$. We assume for the sake of simplicity of exposition that $i > 1$, as this case can be handled similarly to the more general case. This implies that $\nu_i/2 \leq r \leq \nu_i$, and as such

$$\alpha_i r \leq \alpha_i \nu_i = \sqrt{\alpha_i^2 \nu_i \nu_i} \leq \sqrt{\alpha_i^2 \nu_i} \sqrt{2r} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon^2}{800}} \sqrt{2r} \leq \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{r}}{20}. \quad (1)$$

We have that

$$d_{\nu_i}(r, s) < \alpha_i \Rightarrow \frac{|r - s|}{r + s + \nu_i} < \alpha_i.$$

If $s \leq \nu_i$, we have that

$$|r - s| \leq 3\nu_i \alpha_i \leq \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{r}}{3} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (\sqrt{r} + \varepsilon),$$

which implies that N is indeed sensitive ε -approximation. Otherwise, if $s \geq \nu_i \geq r$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} s - r \leq \alpha_i(r + s + \nu_i) &\Rightarrow (1 - \alpha_i)(s - r) - \alpha_i r \leq \alpha_i(r + \nu_i) \\ &\Rightarrow (1 - \alpha_i)(s - r) \leq \alpha_i(2r + \nu_i) \\ &\Rightarrow s - r \leq \frac{\alpha_i(2r + \nu_i)}{(1 - \alpha_i)} \leq 2\alpha_i(2r + \nu_i), \end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha_i \leq 1/2$. As such, by Eq. (1), we have

$$|s - r| \leq 6\alpha_i \nu_i \leq 6 \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{r}}{20} \leq \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{r}}{2},$$

which implies the claim. ■

Looking on the bounds of sensitive ε -approximation as compared to ε -approximation, its natural to ask whether its size can be improved, but observe that since such a sample is also an ε^2 -net, and it is known that $\Omega(d/\varepsilon^2 \log(1/\varepsilon))$ is a lower bound on the size of such a net [KPW92], this implies that such improvement is impossible.

5 Relative ε -approximation

Definition 5.1 A subset $N \subset X$ is a *relative (p, ε) -approximation* if for each $R \in \mathcal{F}$, we have:

(i) If $r(R) \geq p$ then

$$(1 - \varepsilon)r(R) \leq s(R) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)r(R).$$

(ii) If $r(R) \leq p$ then $s(R) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)p$.

The concept was introduced by [CKMS06], except that property (ii) was not required. However, property (ii) is just an easy (but useful) “monotonicity” property that holds for all the constructions I am aware of.

There are relative approximations of size (roughly) $1/(\varepsilon^2 p)$. As such, relative approximation is interesting, in the case where $p \ll \varepsilon$. Then, we can approximate ranges of weight larger than p with a sample that has only linear dependency on $1/p$. Otherwise, we would have to use the regular p -approximations, and there the required sample is of size (roughly) $1/p^2$.

Theorem 5.2 A sample N of size $O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 p} \left(d \log \frac{1}{p} + \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right)$ is a relative (p, ε) -approximation with probability $\geq 1 - \delta$.

Proof: Set $\nu = p/2$, and $\alpha = \varepsilon/9$, and apply Theorem 2.1. We get that, for any range $R \in \mathcal{F}$, such that $r = r(R) \geq p$ and $s = s(R)$, it holds

$$d_\nu(r, s) = \frac{|r - s|}{r + s + \nu} < \alpha \Rightarrow |r - s| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{9}(r + s + p/2).$$

If $s \leq r$ then, since $r \geq p$, we have that

$$|r - s| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{9}(r + s + p/2) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{9}3r \leq \varepsilon r,$$

which implies property (i). Otherwise, $s \geq r$, and then

$$s - r \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{9}(r + s + p/2) \Rightarrow (1 - \varepsilon/9)s \leq (1 + \varepsilon/9)r + p/2.$$

This implies that

$$s \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon/9}{1 - \varepsilon/9}r + \frac{1}{2(1 - \varepsilon/9)}p \leq \frac{4}{3}r + \frac{9}{16}p \leq 2r. \quad (2)$$

Thus, $s \leq 3r$, which implies that

$$|r - s| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{9}(r + s + p/2) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{9}5r \leq \varepsilon r,$$

which again implies property (i).

As for property (ii), if $r \leq p$ then we need to show that $s \leq (1 + \varepsilon)p$, and this follows easily from the above calculations. ■

In fact, one can slightly strengthen the concept by making it “sensitive”.

Theorem 5.3 *A sample N of size $O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 p}\left(d \log \frac{1}{p} + \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right)$ is a relative $(ip, \varepsilon/\sqrt{i})$ -approximation with probability $\geq 1 - \delta$, for all $i \geq 0$.*

Namely, for any range $R \in \mathcal{F}$, such that $r(R) \geq ip$, we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{i}}\right) r(R) \leq s(R) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{i}}\right) r(R). \quad (3)$$

Proof: Set $p_i = ip$ and $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon/\sqrt{i}$, for $i = 1, \dots, 1/p$. Now, apply Theorem 5.2, and observe that all the samples are of the same size, and as such one can use the same sample to get this guarantee for all i . ■

Interestingly, sensitive approximation imply relative approximations.

Lemma 5.4 *Let $\varepsilon, p > 0$ be parameters, and let $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon\sqrt{p}$. Then, if N is sensitive ε' -approximation to the set system $(X, \mathcal{F})$ then its also a relative (ε, p) -approximation.*

Proof: We know that $\forall R \in \mathcal{F}$ it holds $|r(R) - s(R)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon'}{2}(\sqrt{r(R)} + \varepsilon')$. As such, for $R \in \mathcal{F}$, if $r(R) = \alpha p$ and $\alpha \geq 1$, then we have

$$|r(R) - s(R)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{p}}{2}(\sqrt{\alpha p} + \varepsilon\sqrt{p}) = \frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\sqrt{\alpha p} \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \alpha p \leq \varepsilon r(R),$$

which implies that N is a relative (ε, p) -approximation. ■

References

- [BCM99] H. Brönnimann, B. Chazelle, and J. Matoušek. Product range spaces, sensitive sampling, and derandomization. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 28:1552–1575, 1999.
- [Brö95] H. Brönnimann. *Derandomization of Geometric Algorithms*. Ph.D. thesis, Dept. Comput. Sci., Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, May 1995.
- [CKMS06] E. Cohen, H. Kaplan, Y. Mansour, and M. Sharir. Approximations with relative errors in range spaces of finite vc dimension. manuscript, 2006.
- [HW87] D. Haussler and E. Welzl. ε -nets and simplex range queries. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 2:127–151, 1987.
- [KPW92] J. Komlós, J. Pach, and G. Woeginger. Almost tight bounds for ε -nets. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 7:163–173, 1992.
- [LLS01] Y. Li, P. M. Long, and A. Srinivasan. Improved bounds on the sample complexity of learning. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 62(3):516–527, 2001.
- [VC71] V. N. Vapnik and A. Y. Chervonenkis. On the uniform convergence of relative frequencies of events to their probabilities. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 16:264–280, 1971.